1	Daniel C. Girard (SBN: 114826)	
2	Dena C. Sharp (SBN: 245869) Adam E. Polk (SBN: 273000)	
3	Scott M. Grzenczyk (SBN: 279309) GIRARD GIBBS LLP	
4	601 California Sreet, 14th Floor	
5	San Francisco, CA 94108 Telephone: (415) 981-4800	
6	Facsimile: (415) 981-4846	
	Email: dcg@girardgibs.com	
7 8	Counsel for Plaintiffs Tiffany Bui and Nicholas Letourneau	
9		
10	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT	T OF CALIFORNIA
12		
13	TIFFANY BUI and NICHOLAS LETOURNEAU)
14	Individually and on Behalf of and All Others Similarly Situated,	JST
15	Plaintiffs,) Case No.: 3:13-cv-03516- JCS
	V.)
16	NYK LINE (NORTH AMERICA) INC.; NIPPON YUSEN KABUSHIKI KAISHA; WILH.)
17	WILHELMSEN HOLDING ASA, WILH. WILHELMSEN ASA, MITSUI O.S.K. LINES.)
18	LTD.; KAWASAKI KISEN KAISHA, LTD.; "K" LINE AMERICA, INC.; EUKOR CAR	
19	CARRIERS INC.; WALLENIUS WILHELMSEN	
20	LOGISTICS AS; WILH. WILHELMSEN ASA; WALLENIUS WILHELMSEN LOGISTICS)
21	AMERICAS LLC; WALLENIUS LINES AB, COMPAÑÍA SUD AMERICANA DE)
22	VAPORES, S.A.; TOYOFUJI SHIPPING CO., LTD.; AND NISSAN MOTOR CAR CARRIER	
23	CO., LTD.,	
24	Defendants.)
25	STIPULATION EXT	
26	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have filed a	complaint on behalf of indirect purchasers of
27	vehicle carrier services against a number of defer	ndants alleging violations of Section 1 of the
28		

STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2

23

24

25

26

27

28

Sherman	Act	and	various	state	laws	in	connection	with	sales	of	vehicle	carrier	services	(the
"Complai	nt"):													

WHEREAS, several related civil actions have been filed in District Courts across the country, including *F. Ruggiero & Sons, Inc., et al. v. NYK Line (North America) Inc. et al.*, No. 2:13-cv-00016-ES-SCM (D.N.J. May 24, 2013); *Nelson v. Nippon Yusen Kabushiki Kaisha*, No. 3:13-cv-00604-HLA-MCR (M.D. Fla. May 24, 2013); *MacQuarrie, et al. v. Nippon Yusen Kabushiki Kaisha*, No. 3:13-cv-2409-JST (N.D. Cal. May 28, 2013); *Knudson v. NYK Line (North America), Inc.*, No. 2:13-cv-03485-ES-SCM (D.N.J. June 5, 2013); *Schroeder v. Nippon Yusen Kabushiki Kaisha*, No. 3:13-cv-01319-DHB (S.D. Cal. June 6, 2013); *Adame v. Nippon Yusen Kabushiki Kaisha*, No. 3:13-cv-00651-HAL-JBT (M.D. Fla. June 6, 2013); *Martens Cars of Wash., Inc., et al. v. Nippon Yusen Kabushiki Kaisha*, No. 3:13-cv-02696-EDL (N.D. Cal. June 12, 2013); *Levis, et al. v. Nippon Yusen Kabushiki Kaisha, et al.*, No. 3:13-cv-02895-NC (N.D. Cal. June 24, 2013); *Spicer et al. v. Nippon Yusen Kabushiki Kaisha, et al.*, No. 3:13-cv-02894-DMR (N.D. Cal. June 24, 2013); *Stasik v. Nippon Yusen Kabushiki et al.*, No. 13-cv-1467-LAB-BLM (S.D. Cal. June 25, 2013); and it is possible that other such related actions will continue to be filed (collectively, the "Related Actions");

WHEREAS, on June 13, 2013, a Motion for Consolidation and Transfer under 28 U.S.C. section 1407 was filed before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (the "JPML") for transfer and consolidation and/or coordination of several of the Related Actions, and Plaintiffs and Defendants in the Related Actions expect that a consolidated amended complaint ("CAC") will be filed in this action following an order of the JPML;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Defendants in the Related Actions have entered into a stipulation dated July 3, 2013, a copy of which is annexed as Exhibit A, extending Defendants' time to move or answer or otherwise respond to the complaints in the Related Actions or the CAC;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs in this case desire to join that stipulation and extend the deadlines in this case in accordance with its terms;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned, that:

- 1. Subject to paragraph 4 below, the Stipulating Defendants shall have no obligation to respond to the Complaints in the Related Actions until after the JPML has entered an order in connection with the motion for transfer and consolidation and/or coordination.
- 2. If any of the Related Actions are consolidated and/or coordinated for pretrial proceedings, the Stipulating Defendants shall, as permitted by Fed. R. Civ. P. 12, answer, move, or otherwise respond to the CAC that consolidates this action and any Related Actions within 45 days after a CAC is filed, unless the transferee court sets a different schedule. In the event the Plaintiffs serve notice that they will not file a CAC, then the Stipulating Defendants will have 45 days from the date of the notice to respond to the Complaints.
- 3. If any of the Related Actions are not consolidated and/or coordinated for pretrial proceedings, the Stipulating Defendants shall, as permitted by Fed. R. Civ. P. 12, answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Complaints within 45 days after entry of any such order.
- 4. Notwithstanding paragraphs (2) and (3), above, if any Stipulating Defendant responds to any discovery request, participates in any meet and confer, files an answer, moves, and/or otherwise responds pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 in any of the Related Actions before the date required by this Stipulation, that Stipulating Defendant will serve Plaintiffs with discovery, meet and confer, answer, move, and/or otherwise respond concurrently in any Related Action, unless such action has not been filed with a court for 21 days, in which case the Stipulating Defendant will serve Plaintiffs with discovery, meet and confer, answer, move, and/or otherwise respond within the time required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in that action.
- 5. Defendants do not waive: (a) any jurisdictional defenses that may be available under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12; (b) any affirmative defenses under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8; (c) any other statutory or common law defenses that may be available to the Stipulating Defendants in any of the Related Actions; or (d) any right to seek or oppose any reassignment, transfer, or consolidation alternatives with respect to any of the Related Actions. The Stipulating Defendants expressly reserve their rights to raise any such defenses (or any other defense) in response to either the extant complaints or any amended and/or consolidated complaint that may be in any of the Related Actions. Nothing herein shall be construed as an acknowledgment of service of process, a waiver

1	of objections to service of process, or an appearance by any defendant in any of the Related						
2	Actions.						
3	6. Plaintiffs' obligations to serve a copy of the complaint on Defendants shall not						
4	begin to run until the filing of the CAC (or any other date set by the transferee court) or the date						
5	the JPML denies transfer.						
6	7. Plaintiffs further agree that this Stipulation is available, without further stipulation,						
7	to all other named defendants who notify the Plaintiffs in writing of their intention to join this						
8	Stipulation (such defendants will become a Stipulating Defendant).						
9	8. The undersigned, in accordance with Local Rule 5-5(a)(1), hereby acknowledge						
10	service of this Stipulation. The undersigned parties agree that until they have made an appearance						
11	in this matter and registered for notifications via ECF, they agree to accept service of documents						
12	other than the complaint via electronic mail at the addresses listed below.						
13	9. Nothing in this Stipulation shall preclude Plaintiffs or the Defendants from seeking						
14	to amend the filing deadlines set forth herein.						
15	Dated: August 29, 2013						
16	By: /s/ Dena C. Sharp						
	Daniel C .Girard (SBN: 114826) Dena C. Sharp (SBN: 245869)						
17	Adam E. Polk (SBN: 273000)						
18	Scott M. Grzenczyk (SBN: 279309)						
19	GIRARD GIBBS LLP						
17	601 California Street, 14th Floor						
20	San Francisco, CA 94108 Telephone: (415) 981-4800						
21	Facsimile: (415) 981-4846						
22	Email: dcg@girardgibbs.com						
23	Counsel for Plaintiffs Tiffany Bui						
24	and Nicholas Letourneau COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS:						
25							
26	WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE & DORR LLP CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP						
27	By: /s/ Steven F. Cherry By: /s/ Jeremy Calsyn						
28	Starrage E. Charmer						
	Steven F. Cherry Jeremy Calsyn						

1 2 3 4 5	1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 663-6321 Counsel for Defendant Compania Sud Americana de Vapores, S.A.	Mark W. Nelson 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 Telephone: (202) 974-1500 Counsel for Defendants "K" Line America, Inc. and Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha, Ltd.						
6	ARNOLD & PORTER LLP	BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP						
7 8	By: <u>/s/ James L. Cooper</u>	By: /s/ John R. Fornaciari						
9 10 11	James L. Cooper Danielle M. Garten 555 Twelfth Street, NW Washington, DC 20004-1206 Telephone: (202) 942-5014	John R. Fornaciari 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 Telephone: (202) 861-1612						
12 13 14	Counsel for Defendants Mitsui O.S.K. Lines, Ltd., Nissan Motor Car Carrier Co., Ltd.	Counsel for Defendants NYK Line (North America) Inc. and Nippon Yusen Kabushiki Kaisha						
15 16	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP						
17 18 19 20 21 22	By: /s/ Scott A. Stempel Scott A. Stempel J. Clayton Everett, Jr. 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20004-2541 Telephone: (202) 739-3000 Counsel for Defendant Toyofuji Shipping	By: /s/ Steven M. Edwards Steven M. Edwards Megan Dixon 875 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022 Telephone: (212) 918-3506 Counsel for Defendants Wilh. Wilhelmsen Holding ASA, Wilh. Wilhelmsen ASA,						

28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:

4 Dated: September 3, 2013

SO ORDERED

IT IS SO ORDERED

Judge Jon S. Tigar

Judge Jon S. Tigar